Aleaciones de Berilio S.L. Buen Suceso 13 - 28008 Madrid - tel. 915 488 187 - fax 915 489 307 - paco_aguirre@aleacionesdeberilio.com

Technical Tidbits

Good designs yield to no one. This issue provides an in-depth discussion on yield strength.

a publication by

BRUSHWELLMAN ENGINEERED MATERIALS

Electronic Connector Market - Property Spotlight

VOL. 6 • No. 1 (800) 375-4205 Technical Service January-February 2005

Yield Strength and other Near Elastic Properties

(This issue of Technical Tidbits continues the materials science refresher series on basic concepts of material properties.) The **yield strength** (also known as the **proof strength**) may be the most important material property to consider when designing electronic and electrical contacts and connectors. However, in most cases it is actually a derived property, and not a well-defined point on the stress-strain curve where material behavior changes. In fact, there are several types of yield strengths, each with its own definition.

As was stated in the previous edition of Technical Tidbits, the stress and strain in the first portion of a material's stress-strain curve are linearly proportional to each other. This forms a straight line on the stress-strain diagram, with a slope know as the elastic modulus of the material. The stress level at which the stress-strain response first begins to deviate from linear behavior is known as the **proportional limit,** shown below as the green line in Figure 1.

The name yield strength seems to imply that it is the level of stress at which a material under load ceases to behave elastically and begins to yield. This is not the case. The point at which the material first begins to experience permanent set is known as the **elastic limit** (shown as the black line in Figure 1 below.) Material that is loaded to a stress level below the elastic limit will completely return to its original size and shape, if the load is released immediately. Conversely, material that is loaded to a stress level greater than the elastic limit will have experienced some degree of permanent set. The **yield strength** is defined as the level of stress that produces a specific amount of permanent set. This means that by the time the yield strength is reached, the base material has already yielded (undergone permanent set) by definition.

The **0.2% offset yield strength** (0.2% OYS, 0.2% proof stress, $R_{P0.2}$, $R_{P0,2}$) is defined as the amount of stress that will result in a plastic strain of 0.2%. This is illustrated by the blue line in Figure 1 below. This is the yield strength that is most often quoted by material suppliers and used by design engineers. If a different permanent set is specified, then there will be a different yield strength associated with that strain level. For example, the orange line in the figure below would represent the 0.01% offset yield strength. In some cases, particularly with low strength rod or wire, it is difficult to accurately measure the plastic strain. In this case, the total strain is measured, and the **0.5% extension under load yield strength** (0.5% EUL, $R_{T0.5}$) is listed instead.

In order to ensure that a designed connector does not yield in service, a **safety factor** should be used when calculating the design stress. A maximum stress level of 75% of the yield strength (corresponding to a safety factor of 1.0 / 0.75 = 1.33) has




Figure 1. Stress-Strain Curve in the Near Elastic Region

TT0046

historically been used. Recently, the predictive capability of finite element analysis has allowed designs to proceed with safety factors approaching or even descending below 1.0, if a minor amount of permanent set is not detrimental and can be tolerated.

Another popular property that is often specified by material suppliers and designers, especially those in Asia, is the **spring bend limit**. This is not a property that is determined by the uniaxial tension test, but must be determined by its own specific spring bend limit test. In this test, a small sample of strip is repeatedly loaded and unloaded in bending by small increments until permanent set is observed. (This is similar to how the precision elastic limit is determined in tension.) There are several spring bend limit tests in use today. Interestingly, there appears to be no general correlation between the results from different spring bend limit tests, nor between the results from any spring bend limit test and the precision elastic limit test.

Typically, when designing a contact, materials with greater yield strengths will provide greater design flexibility by allowing for higher stress levels. However, since formability generally tends to decrease as yield strength increases, higher strength tempers of a given material will offer less design flexibility than the lower strength tempers. Therefore, it is imperative to find the material with the highest strength that also meets the formability requirements of the design. Figure 2 below shows the 0.2% offset yield strength as a function of formability for copper alloys commonly used in connector applications. The copper beryllium alloys shown in blue offer the greatest yield strength for a given formability level, and vice versa. These alloys will provide deisgners with the greatest amount of flexibility for a given strength level.

Although there are many ways to define it, the yield strength is probably the most important material property to consider when selecting a material for a design application.




Figure 2. Yield Strength versus Combined Good Way & Bad Way Formability of Common Copper-Based Connector Materials

Coming Next Issue: The next edition of Technical Tidbits will focus on the elastic modulus.

Written by Mike Gedeon of the Brush Wellman Alloy Marketing Applications Engineering and Technical Service Department. Mr. Gedeon is a mechanical engineer whose primary focus has been on electronic connector markets with emphasis on Finite Element Analysis, design and material selection.

References: *Tensile Testing* - Technical Tidbits, Vol. 3 # 9, September 2001
Why Good Designs Fail - Technical Tidbits, Vol. 1 # 1, August 1999
Larry Wojnicz, John C. Harkness, and Michael Gedeon, "Strength and Stiffness in Bending of Mill Hardened Cu-Be Alloys as Determined by Three Different Mechanical Test Methods." Proceedings of the 36th Annual IICIT Connector & Interconnection Technology Symposium and Trade Show
ASTM E8, ASTM E6

Health and Safety
Handling copper beryllium in solid form poses no special health risk. Like many industrial materials, beryllium-containing materials may pose a health risk if recommended safe handling practices are not followed. Inhalation of airborne beryllium may cause a serious lung disorder in susceptible individuals. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has set mandatory limits on occupational respiratory exposures. Read and follow the guidance in the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) before working with this material. For additional information on safe handling practices or technical data on copper beryllium, contact Brush Wellman Inc.